

EXISTENCE OF THE DENSITY OF STATES FOR SOME ALLOY TYPE MODELS WITH SINGLE SITE POTENTIALS OF CHANGING SIGN

IVAN VESELIĆ

ABSTRACT. We study spectral properties of ergodic random Schrödinger operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The density of states is shown to exist for a certain class of alloy type potentials with single site potentials of changing sign. The Wegner estimate we prove implies Anderson localization under certain additional assumptions. For some examples we discuss briefly some properties of the common and conditional densities of the random coupling constants used in the proof of the Wegner estimate.

SAŽETAK. Analiziraju se spektralna svojstva ergodičkih slučajnih Schrödingerovih operatora na $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Dokazuje se, da gustoća stanja postoji za određenu klasu potencijala tipa legure, kod kojih pojedinačni potencijal mijenja predznak. Uz određene dodatne uvjete Wegnerova ocjena koju dokazujemo implicira fenomen Andersonove lokalizacije. Na osnovu primjera promatramo neka svojstva zajedničke i uvjetne gustoće slučajnih konstanti veze. Te gustoće se koriste u dokazu Wegnerove ocjene.

1. ALLOY TYPE MODEL AND THE INTEGRATED DENSITY OF STATES

We consider Schrödinger operators with a potential which is a stochastic process ergodic with respect to translations from $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Such operators model quantum mechanical Hamiltonians which govern the motion of single electrons in disordered solids. The spectral properties of the Schrödinger operator are related to the dynamical behaviour of the electron wave packets and thus to the charge transport properties of the described solid, cf. e.g. [4, 12, 29].

The random potential considered in this note is of *alloy* or *continuous Anderson* type:

$$V : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad V_\omega(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} u(x - k).$$

The function $u \in L^p$ ($p = 2$ for $d \leq 3$ and $p > \frac{d}{2}$ for $d \geq 4$) whose translates generate V_ω is called *single site potential*. The "strength" of the potentials $u(\cdot - k)$ associated to different lattice sites $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ is a random variable $\omega_k : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We assume that the sequence $(\omega_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ is independent and identically distributed (iid), and moreover that each ω_k is distributed according to the probability measure μ which has a density f in the Sobolev space $W_c^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$. We may identify the probability space $(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$ with the space

Key words and phrases. density of states, random Schrödinger operators, Wegner estimate, multiscale analysis, localization, indefinite single site potential.

$\times_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the product measure $\otimes_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mu$. Thus an element $\omega \in \Omega$ is a random vector $(\omega_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$.

The *alloy type model* is the random Schrödinger operator, or more precisely, the random family of Schrödinger operators, given by

$$(1) \quad H_\omega := H_0 + V_\omega, \quad \omega \in \Omega, \quad H_0 := -\Delta + V_0$$

where $V_0 \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -periodic potential and p is chosen depending on the dimension, as above.

A large part of the mathematical literature and results on random Schrödinger operators are devoted to the model just described, certain derivatives thereof, cf. e.g. [24, 6, 18] and its discrete version on $l^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ — actually the original model studied by Anderson [1]. An extensive list of references can be found in the textbooks [10, 20, 5, 30, 32].

The name alloy type model stems from the fact that one can consider $u(\cdot - k)$ as an atomic potential, say of screened Coulomb type, due to a nucleus sitting at the lattice site $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. While the lattice structure of the solid is fixed and all atoms are assumed to generate a potential of the same *shape*, the distribution of the different types of atoms on the lattice sites is random. This is then modelled by random nuclear charges ω_k which appear as *coupling constants* in front of the atomic potential $u(\cdot - k)$ and determine its "strength".

Under the above assumptions on H_ω it is well known that the spectrum and its measure theoretic components are almost surely non-random. More precisely, there exist $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ of measure one and a subset of the reals Σ such that $\sigma(H_\omega) = \Sigma$ for all $\omega \in \Omega'$. The analog statement is true for the sets $\sigma_{\text{disc}}(H_\omega)$, $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_\omega)$, $\sigma_{\text{ac}}(H_\omega)$, $\sigma_{\text{sc}}(H_\omega)$ and $\sigma_{\text{pp}}(H_\omega)$. (Here we denote by σ_{pp} the *closure* of the set of eigenvalues.) This property of the spectrum is called *selfaveraging*. It is due to the fact that on the infinite configuration space $\mathbb{R}^d$ the fluctuations of the potential V_ω , which are present in finite size cubes, cancel out thanks to the iid property of the random coupling constants.

Part of the spectral properties of the family of Schrödinger operators H_ω is contained in the *integrated density of states* (IDS). It can be used to calculate the basic thermodynamic quantities of the corresponding non-interacting many particle system, cf. e.g. [17, 11]. In physical terms, the IDS counts the number of energy levels per unit volume, up to a given energy E . The possible existence of continuous spectrum makes a careful mathematical definition of the IDS necessary, which is as follows: Denote by $\Lambda = \Lambda_l$ the cube $[0, l]^d$ and with H_ω^l the restriction of H_ω to the interior of Λ_l with periodic boundary conditions. Then the normalized eigenvalue counting functions

$$(2) \quad N_\omega^l(E) = l^{-d} \# \{i \mid \lambda_i(H_\omega^l) < E\} = l^{-d} \text{Tr } P_\omega^l([-\infty, E])$$

of H_ω^l converge for almost all ω to a limit $N(E) := \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} N_\omega^l(E)$ which is ω -independent. This convergence holds true for all E which are continuity points of N . Here we denote with $P_\omega^l(I)$ the spectral projection of H_ω onto the interval I . Since the limit N is independent of the randomness, we encountered another selfaveraging quantity. Its set of points of increase $\mathcal{I} := \{E \in \mathbb{R} \mid N(E + \epsilon) - N(E - \epsilon) > 0\}$ coincides with the a.s. spectrum Σ .

This note is organized as follows. In the next section we state our main theorem and related results of other authors as well as the implications for Anderson localization, Section 3 contains a sketch of the proof of the main theorem and the last section is devoted to the discussion of the proof of localization using the multiscale analysis and to Wegner estimates for alloy type potentials with dependent random coupling constants. Furthermore we discuss some technical differences of the use of the common and the conditional density of the coupling constants.

2. MAIN THEOREM: A WEGNER ESTIMATE FOR INDEFINITE POTENTIALS

A Wegner estimate [36] is a assertion about the regularity of the finite volume IDS N_ω^l which may imply the Hölder continuity of the IDS on $\mathbb{R}^d$ or even the existence of its derivative dN/dE , the *density of states* (DOS). Note that in the following result the single site potential may be indefinite, i.e. take values of both signs.

Theorem 2.1 ([34, 35]). *Let $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \ni w \geq \kappa \chi_{[0,1]^d}$ with $\kappa > 0$ and $p = 2$ for $d \leq 3$ and $p > d/2$ for $d \geq 4$. Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ be finite, the convolution vector $\alpha = (\alpha_k)_{k \in \Gamma}$ satisfy $\alpha^* := \sum_{k \neq 0} |\alpha_k| < |\alpha_0|$, and the single site potential be of generalized step function form:*

$$(3) \quad u(x) = \sum_{k \in \Gamma} \alpha_k w(x - k).$$

Then there exists for all $E \in \mathbb{R}$ a constant $C = C(E)$ such that

$$(4) \quad \int_{\Omega} \left[\text{Tr } P_\omega^l([E - \epsilon, E]) \right] d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \leq C \epsilon l^d, \quad \forall \epsilon \geq 0.$$

The theorem implies that the DOS exists for a.e. E and is locally uniformly bounded: $dN(E)/dE \leq C(E_1)$ for all $E \leq E_1$. The following result with V. Kostechnik applies to uniform densities.

Proposition 2.2 ([27]). *The assertion of Theorem 2.1 holds true if f is the uniform density on an interval and $\Gamma \subset \{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid k_i \geq 0 \ \forall i = 1, \dots, d\}$.*

First Wegner estimates for indefinite alloy type potentials were derived in [25]. In [16] P. Hislop and F. Klopp combine the techniques from [25] and [9] to prove a Wegner estimate valid for general indefinite single site potentials and for energy intervals at edges of $\sigma(H_\omega)$. They assume the single site potential u not to vanish identically and to be in $C_c \cap l^1(L^p)$ with $p \geq \min(d, 2)$. The density $f \in L_c^\infty$ of the random variable ω_0 (which may be in fact the conditional density w.r.t. $\omega^{\perp 0} := (\omega_k)_{k \neq 0}$) is assumed to be locally absolutely continuous. For any $\beta < 1$ and any energy interval I below the spectrum of the unperturbed operator H_0 they prove

$$\mathbb{P}\{\sigma(H_\omega^l) \cap I \neq \emptyset\} \leq C |I|^\beta l^d$$

where the constant C depends only on β, d and $\text{dist}(I, \sigma(H_0))$. With a sufficiently small global coupling constant λ the same result holds for the operator $H_0 + \lambda V_\omega$ for I in an internal spectral gap of H_0 . The results of [16] extend to more general models including certain operators with random magnetic field.

The literature on Wegner estimates for multidimensional alloy type models includes [28, 7, 2, 22, 21, 31, 9, 19, 23].

Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 imply a localization result if the negative part u_- of the single site potential is sufficiently small.

Theorem 2.3 ([34]). *Let H_ω satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 or Proposition 2.2, let w have compact support and E be a boundary point of $\sigma(H_\omega)$. Let furthermore either*

1. V_0 be symmetric w.r.t. reflections along the coordinate axes and $E = \inf \sigma(H_\omega)$, or
2. $\text{supp } f = [\omega_-, \omega_+]$ and for $\tau > d/2$ and $h \geq 0$ sufficiently small let the density f satisfy $\int_{\omega_-}^h f \leq h^\tau$ and $\int_{\omega_+ - h}^{\omega_+} f \leq h^\tau$.

Then there exist $\epsilon, r > 0$ such that for $\sum_{\alpha_l < 0} \alpha_l \geq -\epsilon$

$$(5) \quad [E - r, E + r] \cap \sigma_c(H_\omega) = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(H_\omega) \cap [E - r, E + r] \neq \emptyset$$

The eigenfunctions of H_ω with eigenvalues in $[E - r, E + r]$ decay exponentially.

In [16] this result has been generalized to a larger class of single site potentials using results from [26] and an abstract version of the smallness of u_- . In one dimension the alloy type model has pure point at all energies regardless of the sign properties of u [33]. The proof does not use a Wegner estimate.

3. SKETCH OF THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1

For simplicity we assume $w = \chi_{[0,1]^d}$. Let $\tilde{\Lambda} := \Lambda \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$, $\mathbb{E}$ denote the expectation w.r.t. $\mathbb{P}$ and $I := [E_1, E_2[$ an energy interval. Thus we have $\mathbb{E} [N_\omega^l(E_2) - N_\omega^l(E_1)] = l^{-d} \mathbb{E} [\text{Tr } P_\omega^l(I)]$. We abbreviate $\chi_j := \chi_{[0,1]^{d+j}}$ and infer from [7] the inequality

$$(6) \quad \mathbb{E} [\text{Tr } P_\omega^l(I)] \leq e^{E_2} C_V \sum_{j \in \tilde{\Lambda}} \left\| \mathbb{E} [\chi_j P_\omega^l(I) \chi_j] \right\|$$

which corresponds to a partition of unity. Here the constant C_V depends only on V_0, u and f . To estimate $\mathbb{E} [\langle \phi, \chi_j P_\omega^l(I) \chi_j \phi \rangle]$ for any normalized $\phi \in L^2(\Lambda_l)$ we introduce a transformation of coordinates on the probability space Ω .

For each cube $\Lambda = \Lambda_l$ denote $\Lambda^+ := \{\lambda - \gamma \mid \lambda \in \tilde{\Lambda}, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$. The operator H_ω^l depends only on the truncated random vector $(\omega_k)_{k \in \Lambda^+} \in \mathbb{R}^{\#\Lambda^+}$. On such vectors acts a block Toeplitz transformation $A_\Lambda := \{\alpha_{j-k}\}_{j,k \in \Lambda^+}$ induced by the convolution vector α . The transformation has an inverse $B_\Lambda = \{b_{k,j}\}_{k,j \in \Lambda^+} = A_\Lambda^{-1}$ which is bounded in the row-sum norm $\|B_\Lambda\| \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha^*}$. We drop now the subscript Λ and denote with $\eta := A\omega$ the vector of the transformed random coordinates. They have the common density

$$(7) \quad k(\eta) = k_\Lambda(\eta) = |\det B| F(A^{-1}\eta)$$

where $F(\omega) = \prod_{k \in \Lambda^+} f(\omega_k)$ is the original density of the ω_k . We calculate the potential V_ω written as a function of η (and $x \in \Lambda$):

$$V_\omega(x) = V_{B\eta}(x) = \sum_{j \in \tilde{\Lambda}} \eta_j \chi_j(x).$$

In the new representation of the potential the single site potentials are non-negative, so we can to use a spectral averaging formula [7]

$$(8) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\eta_j k(\eta) s(\eta) \leq |I| \sup_{\eta_j} |k(\eta)|, \quad \text{where } s(\eta) := \langle \phi, \chi_j P_{B\eta}^l(I) \chi_j \phi \rangle.$$

Denote $L = \#\Lambda^+$. Fubini, (8) and the fundamental theorem of calculus give

$$(9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^L} d\eta k(\eta) s(\eta) \leq |I| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{L-1}} d\eta^{\perp j} \sup_{\eta_j} |k(\eta)| \leq |I| \int_{\mathbb{R}^L} d\eta |(\partial_j k)(\eta)|.$$

The last integral equals $|\det A| \int_{\mathbb{R}^L} d\omega |(\partial_j k)(A\omega)|$ which is bounded by $\|f'\|_{L^1} \sum_{k \in \Lambda^+} |b_{k,j}|$. The proof of the theorem is finished by the estimate

$$(10) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\langle \phi, \chi_j P_{\omega}^l(I) \chi_j \phi \rangle \right] \leq |I| \|f'\|_{L^1} \|B\|.$$

4. DISCUSSION AND APPLICATIONS

4.1. Localization. The main application of Wegner estimates — apart from establishing the regularity of the IDS — is the proof of localization, i.e. the existence of dense pure point spectrum of H_{ω} in certain energy regions. For multidimensional Schrödinger operators on $L^d(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the multiscale analysis of J. Fröhlich and T. Spencer [13] provides a method for proving localization by induction over cubes in $\mathbb{R}^d$ of larger and larger side lengths. To start the multiscale induction one has to know certain off-diagonal decay estimates of the kernel of the resolvent of H_{ω}^l at an initial length scale. For non-negative u there are rather well understood sufficient conditions for this estimates. The Wegner estimate is needed, too, as an a priori estimate for the multiscale analysis, namely to control the induction step when going from one scale to another, larger one.

We sketch the main estimates in the multiscale analysis under the assumptions of Theorem 2.3. For the following it is convenient to slightly change the notation and denote by $\Lambda = \Lambda_l(x) = [-l/2, l/2]^d + x$ the cube of side length l centered at x . The characteristic function of $\Lambda_l(x) - \Lambda_{l-2}(x)$, resp. $\Lambda_{l/3}(x)$, is abbreviated as χ^+ , resp. χ^- . The cube Λ is called (γ, E) -good if the associated resolvent satisfies the following off-diagonal decay estimate in operator norm

$$\|\chi^+(H_{\omega}^{\Lambda} - E)^{-1} \chi^-\| \leq e^{-\gamma l}.$$

The initial scale estimate for the multiscale analysis is satisfied if

$$(11) \quad \mathbb{P}\{\forall E \in I : \Lambda_l(x) \text{ is } (\gamma_0, E)\text{-good}\} \geq 1 - l_0^{-\xi_0}$$

holds for $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d, l_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large and $\gamma_0 \geq l_0^{\beta-1}, \beta, \xi_0 > 0$. See [34] how it is derived in the situation of Theorem 2.3. It serves as the induction anchor. The geometric resolvent equation for two cubes $\Lambda \subset \Lambda', \phi \in C_c^1(\Lambda)$ and $z \in \rho(H_{\omega}^{\Lambda}) \cap \rho(H_{\omega}^{\Lambda'})$

$$(H^{\Lambda} - z)^{-1} \phi = \phi (H^{\Lambda'} - z)^{-1} + (H^{\Lambda} - z)^{-1} [(\nabla \phi) \nabla + \Delta \phi] (H^{\Lambda'} - z)^{-1}$$

and (some weak form of) a Wegner estimate

$$\mathbb{P}\{\sigma(H_{\omega}^{\Lambda}) \cap I \neq \emptyset\} \leq C |I|^a \text{vol}(\Lambda)^b$$

with $a \in]0, 1], b \in [1, \infty[$ imply for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $d(x, y) \geq \text{diam supp } u$

$$\mathbb{P}\{\forall E \in I : \Lambda_l(x) \text{ or } \Lambda_l(x) \text{ is } (\gamma, E)\text{-good}\} \geq 1 - l^{-2\xi}$$

for some $\alpha \in]1, 2[, \gamma, \xi > 0$ and all $l_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $l_{k-1}^\alpha \leq l_k \leq l_{k-1}^\alpha + 6, k \in \mathbb{N}$. This establishes the exponential decay of the resolvent on arbitrary large scales with high probability. Using a priori estimates on the (polynomial) growth of eigenfunctions [3] one concludes that they actually decay exponentially.

Details on the multiscale analysis can be found, e.g., in [32] and some recent developments in [14, 15].

4.2. Dependent random coupling constants. The proof of Theorem 2.1 uses the transformation of V_ω into an alloy type potential with non-negative single site potential $w \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and (negatively) correlated coupling constants $(\eta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$. Thus we can interpret it as an Wegner estimate for the Schrödinger operator $H_\eta = -\Delta + V_0 + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \eta_k w(\cdot - k)$. This implies the following Wegner estimate for dependent coupling constants:

Proposition 4.1. *Let $w \geq \kappa \chi_{[0,1]}$, $\kappa > 0$ and for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$ the common density k_l of $\eta^l = (\eta_k)_{k \in \Lambda_l}$ conditioned on $(\eta_k)_{k \notin \Lambda_l}$ be of the form $|\det B_l| k_l(\eta) = F(B_l \eta^l)$, where $f \in W^{1,1}$ is a probability density, $F_l(\omega) = \prod_{k \in \Lambda_l} f(\omega_k)$ and $B_l := B_l((\eta_k)_{k \notin \Lambda_l})$ is a sequence of invertible linear transformations with $\sup\{\|B_l\| \mid (\eta_k)_{k \notin \Lambda_l}\} \leq \text{const } l^q$. Then for each $E \in \mathbb{R}$ exists a constant $C = C(E)$ such that*

$$(12) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\text{Tr } P_\eta^l([E - \epsilon, E]) \right] \leq C \epsilon l^{q+d}, \quad \forall \epsilon \geq 0.$$

Wegner estimates for alloy type models with dependent coupling constants have been established in [8] (cf. also [19]). The criteria for their validity are formulated in terms of the conditional densities $h_j(\eta)$ of the random variable η_j w.r.t. the remaining ones $\eta^{\perp j} = (\eta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus j}$. One necessary condition is that the supremum $\|h_j\|_\infty$ is finite. This motivates the comparison of some properties of the common and the conditional densities of the random coupling constants in the next subsection.

4.3. Common and conditional densities. In this subsection we discuss some properties of the common and conditional densities of the random coupling constants. The proofs of Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 rely on the use of a common density of the type (7). Since one has an explicit formula for the corresponding conditional densities, it is desirable to analyze their properties. Particularly, it is of interest whether the conditional densities are bounded by a constant. If this is the case, the Wegner estimates of [8] and [19] apply by considering the indefinite potential V_ω in its representation $V_{B\eta}$ as an alloy type potential with dependent coupling constants.

Lets look first at the common density k_Λ . Its supremum is easily seen to be $|\det B_\Lambda| \|f\|_\infty^L$, so it diverges exponentially with the volume of the cube Λ . However, this does not matter since in (9) one takes the integral instead of the supremum.

We restrict ourselves now to the one dimensional $d = 1$ case and note that $\Lambda = [0, l[$ and $\Lambda^+ = \{-1, \dots, l-1\}$.

Instead of considering the conditional density $h_j(\eta)$ of η_j w.r.t *all* coupling constants we will study (for two simple examples) the conditional density $\rho_j(\eta) = \rho_j^l(\eta)$ of the variable η_j with respect to the remaining coupling constants $\eta^{\perp j} = (\eta_k)_{k \in \Lambda^+ \setminus j}$ in Λ^+ . It is given by $\rho_j(\eta) = \frac{k(\eta)}{g_j(\eta)}$. Here $g_j(\eta) := g_j^l(\eta) = \int k(\eta) d\eta_j$ denotes the marginal density. The question is whether $\sup_j \rho(\eta)$ is finite. If it is finite, does the upper bound depend on l and does the bound diverge as l tends to infinity?

Example 4.2. Let $u = \chi_{[0,1]} - \chi_{[1,2]}$, i.e. the convolution vector be $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) = (1, -1)$. Then the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 are (just) not satisfied, since $|\alpha_1| = |\alpha_0|$. However, $A_l = A_\Lambda$ has an inverse B_l with entries $b_{j,k} = \chi_{\{j \geq k\}}$ for all $-1 \leq j, k \leq l-1$. Thus $\|B_l\| = l+1$ and (10) implies for $f \in W^{1,1}$

$$(13) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\langle \phi, \chi_j P_\omega^l(I) \chi_j \phi \rangle \right] \leq |I| \|f'\|_{L^1} (l+1).$$

This gives a Wegner estimate like (4), but with l^d replaced by $l^{2d} = l^2$.

Specialize now to the density $f(x) = -4|x| + 2$ on $[-1/2, 1/2]$ and zero elsewhere. We calculate a lower bound on the supremum by evaluating the conditional density at $\eta = 0$, the unique maximum of $k(\eta)$. For $j \in \{-1, \dots, l-1\}$ and $l-j$ even we have

$$(14) \quad \sup_{\eta} \rho_j^l(\eta) \geq \rho_j^l(0) = l-j+1.$$

This bound diverges as the length l of the interval tends to infinity. Thus the mere invertibility of A is not sufficient to ensure the uniform boundedness of $\sup_{\eta} \rho_j^l(\eta)$. Remarkably the bound (14) has the same volume growth as the one in (13) involving the common density. If (14) is actually the exact behaviour of $\|\rho_j^l\|_{\infty}$ then the conditional density may be used to derive (13) and a Wegner estimate.

Example 4.3. Consider now the density function $f = \chi_{[0,1]}$ and the single site potential $u = \chi_{[0,1]} - \alpha \chi_{[1,2]}$ with $-\alpha = \alpha_1 \in]-1, 0[$. To the corresponding alloy type model the Wegner estimate in Proposition 2.2 applies. We calculate now the supremum of the conditional densities ρ_j . The common density is given by $k(\eta) = \prod_{k=-1}^{l-1} \chi_{[0,1]}(\sum_{\nu=-1}^k \alpha^{k-\nu} \eta_{\nu})$. For $\eta_{j+1} \in [0, 1], \eta_k = 0, \forall k \neq j+1$ we have $k(\eta) = \prod_{k=j+1}^{l-1} \chi_{[0,1]}(\alpha^{k-j-1} \eta_{\nu}) = 1$. The marginal density

$$\begin{aligned} g_j(\eta) &= \prod_{k=-1}^{j-1} \chi_{[0,1]} \left(\sum_{\nu=-1}^k \alpha^{k-\nu} \eta_{\nu} \right) \int \prod_{k=j}^{l-1} \chi_{[0,1]} \left(\sum_{\nu=-1}^k \alpha^{k-\nu} \eta_{\nu} \right) d\eta_j \\ &\leq \int d\eta_j \prod_{k=j}^{j+1} \chi_{[0,1]} \left(\sum_{\nu=-1}^k \alpha^{k-\nu} \eta_{\nu} \right) \end{aligned}$$

has for $\eta_{j+1} \in [0, 1], \eta_k = 0, \forall k \notin \{j, j+1\}$ the upper bound $\int_0^1 \chi_{[0,1]}(\alpha \eta_j + \eta_{j+1}) d\eta_j \leq \alpha^{-1}(1 - \eta_{j+1})$. Particularly, $g_j(\eta) \searrow 0$ for $\eta_{j+1} \nearrow 1$ and thus

$$\|\rho_j\|_{\infty} = \infty.$$

So proofs of a Wegner estimate which require the conditional density to be bounded cannot be applied to this alloy type potential.

Note that we discussed only mathematical aspects of the use of the common, resp. conditional density. A different question is whether for physical models the dependence between the coupling constants is most naturally expressed in terms of the conditional or common densities, in terms of the correlation coefficients or more general mixed moments.

Acknowledgements. It is a pleasure to thank T. Hupfer, W. Kirsch, V. Kostykin, K. Veselić and S. Warzel for stimulating discussions and helpful comments. This work was partially supported by SFB 237: “Unordnung und große Fluktuationen”, the Ruth-und-Gert-Massenberg-Stiftung, both Germany, and the Croatian Ministry of Science.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Anderson. Absence of diffusion in certain random lattices. *Phys. Rev.*, 109:1492, 1958.
- [2] J. M. Barbaroux, J. M. Combes, and P. D. Hislop. Localization near band edges for random Schrödinger operators. *Helv. Phys. Acta*, 70(1-2):16–43, 1997.
- [3] Y. M. Berezanskii. Expansion in eigenfunctions of self-adjoint operators. *Am. Math. Soc, Providence (Transl. of Math. Mon., 17)*, 68.
- [4] V. Bonch-Bruевич, R. Enderlein, B. Esser, R. Keiper, A. Mirnov, and I. Zvyagin. *Elektronentheorie ungeordneter Halbleiter*. VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, 1984. Russisches Original: Moskau, Nauka, 1981.
- [5] R. Carmona and J. Lacroix. *Spectral Theory of Random Schrödinger Operators*. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1990.
- [6] J. Combes, P. Hislop, and E. Mourre. Spectral averaging, perturbation of singular spectra and localization. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 348(12):4883–4894, 1996.
- [7] J.-M. Combes and P. Hislop. Localization for some continuous, random Hamiltonians in d-dimensions. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 124:149–180, 1994.
- [8] J. M. Combes, P. D. Hislop, and E. Mourre. Correlated Wegner inequalities for random Schrödinger operators. In *Advances in differential equations and mathematical physics (Atlanta, GA, 1997)*, pages 191–203. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [9] J. M. Combes, P. D. Hislop, and S. Nakamura. The L^p -theory of the spectral shift function, the Wegner estimate, and the integrated density of states for some random Schrödinger operators. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 70(218):113–130, 2001.
- [10] H. L. Cycon, R. G. Froese, W. Kirsch, and B. Simon. *Schrödinger Operators with Application to Quantum Mechanics and Global Geometry*. Text and Monographs in Physics. Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [11] T. Dorlas. *Statistical mechanics*. IOP Publishing Ltd., Bristol, 1999. Fundamentals and model solutions.
- [12] A. L. Efros and B. I. Shklovski. *Electronic Properties of Doped Semi-conductors*. Springer, Berlin, 84.
- [13] J. Fröhlich and T. Spencer. Absence of diffusion in the Anderson tight binding model for large disorder or low energy. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 88:151–184, 1983.
- [14] F. Germinet and A. Klein. Bootstrap multiscale analysis and localization in random media. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 222(2):415–448, 2001.
- [15] F. Germinet and A. Klein. A characterization of the Anderson metal-insulator transport transition. Preprint, http://www.ma.utexas.edu/mp_arc/, 2001.
- [16] P. D. Hislop and F. Klopp. The integrated density of states for some random operators with nonsign definite potentials. http://www.ma.utexas.edu/mp_arc, preprint no. 01-139, 2001.
- [17] K. Huang. *Statistical mechanics*. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, second edition, 1987.
- [18] D. Hundertmark and W. Kirsch. Spectral theory of sparse potentials. In *Stochastic processes, physics and geometry: new interplays, I (Leipzig, 1999)*, pages 213–238. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.

- [19] T. Hupfer, H. Leschke, P. Müller, and S. Warzel. The absolute continuity of the integrated density of states for magnetic Schrödinger operators with certain unbounded random potentials. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 221(2):229–254, 2001.
- [20] W. Kirsch. Random Schrödinger operators. In H. Holden and A. Jensen, editors, *Schrödinger Operators*, Lecture Notes in Physics, **345**, Berlin, 1989. Springer.
- [21] W. Kirsch, P. Stollmann, and G. Stolz. Anderson localization for random Schrödinger operators with long range interactions. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 195(3):495–507, 1998.
- [22] W. Kirsch, P. Stollmann, and G. Stolz. Localization for random perturbations of periodic Schrödinger operators. *Random Oper. Stochastic Equations*, 6(3):241–268, 1998. available at http://www.ma.utexas.edu/mp_arc, preprint no. 96-409.
- [23] W. Kirsch and I. Veselić. Wegner estimates for sparse and other generalized alloy type potentials. 2001, to appear in Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Math. Sci.
- [24] F. Klopp. Localization for semiclassical continuous random Schrödinger operators ii: The random displacement model. *Helv. Phys. Acta*, 66:810–841, 1993.
- [25] F. Klopp. Localization for some continuous random Schrödinger operators. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 167:553–569, 1995.
- [26] F. Klopp. Internal Lifshits tails for random perturbations of periodic Schrödinger operators. *Duke Math. J.*, 98(2):335–396, 1999.
- [27] V. Kostrykin and I. Veselić. Wegner estimate for indefinite Anderson potentials: some recent results and applications. Proceedings Contribution for "Renormalization Group Methods in Mathematical Sciences", July 2001, RIMS, Kyoto, to appear, www.ruhr-uni-bochum.de/mathphys/ivan.
- [28] S. Kotani and B. Simon. Localization in general one-dimensional random systems II: continuum Schrödinger operators. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 112:103–119, 1987.
- [29] I. M. Lifshitz, S. A. Gredeskul, and L. A. Pastur. *Introduction to the Theory of Disordered Systems*. Wiley, New York, 1988. Russian original: Nauka, Moscow, 1982.
- [30] L. A. Pastur and A. L. Figotin. *Spectra of Random and Almost-Periodic Operators*. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [31] P. Stollmann. Wegner estimates and localization for continuum Anderson models with some singular distributions. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 75(4):307–311, 2000.
- [32] P. Stollmann. *Caught by disorder: A Course on Bound States in Random Media*, volume 20 of *Progress in Mathematical Physics*. Birkhäuser, July 2001.
- [33] G. Stolz. Non-monotonic random Schrödinger operators: the Anderson model. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 248(1):173–183, 2000.
- [34] I. Veselić. Wegner estimate for some indefinite Anderson-type Schrödinger operators with differentiable densities. preprint, 2000, http://www.ma.utexas.edu/mp_arc/, to appear in *Lett. Math. Phys.*
- [35] I. Veselić. *Indefinite Probleme bei der Anderson-Lokalisierung*. Ph.D thesis, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, 44780 Bochum, January 2001. <http://www-brs.ub.ruhr-uni-bochum.de/netathtml/HSS/Diss/VeselicIvan/>.
- [36] F. Wegner. Bounds on the DOS in disordered systems. *Z. Phys. B*, 44:9–15, 1981.

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, RUHR-UNIVERSITÄT BOCHUM, GERMANY
 E-mail address: Ivan.Veselic@ruhr-uni-bochum.de
 URL: www.ruhr-uni-bochum.de/mathphys/ivan